

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/622,552

41st Floor

07/21/2003

Satoshi Kakihara

M1071.1853/1853

3915

7590

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-2714

Edward A. Meilman

07/27/2004

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP

PASCHALL, MARK H

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3742

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applica	nt(s)
Office Action Summary	10/622,552	KAKIHA	RA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark H Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) 13-20 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ient.	×
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
The ball of abolatation is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached of the return of the terms of the t			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	nterview Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-20-03</u>. 	5) <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other:	
C. Dalanta Tandana di Ciffia			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,552

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al 612' in view of Yoshii et al 553'. Nakayama et al teach the claimed subject matter except for showing the use of Cu as a minor component in the internal electrode material. Nakayama et al state in column 3 first paragraph, that generally known electrode materials are used. The patent to Yoshii et al teaches that Cu can be a minor component when used as electrode material in column 6 second paragraph.

Art Unit: 3742

when describing an intermediate layer which is construes as an electrode layer, comprising cu and Pd with Cu the minor component. This affects a strong electrode resistant to micro cracks. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Nakayama et al internal electrode to also include Cu as a minor component, so that the benefit of minimal micro cracks in the electrode would be effected. As per claim 8 use of NiO is considered an obvious choice dependent on the end use of the device and the other materials chosen. AS per claim 9 Yoshii et al does teach the other component as Ag, see column 6. AS per claim 12 notes that Nakayama et al teach Mn as the transition metal.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Nakayama et al in view of Yoshii et al as applied to claims 1,8-12 above, and further in
view of Japanese Patent Abstract 6309', Hereinafter 6309'. Nakayama et al as modified
teach the claimed subject matter except for showing the external electrode as Cu as a
minor component. 6309' is applied for teaching Cu as a minor component, see figure 1
, and use of the same effecting high adhesive strength. In view of this teaching it would
have been obvious to modify the Nakayama et al device to include the same, to attain
the benefit of a high adhesive strength between components.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,552

Art Unit: 3742

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach firing of the sheets at 100-1350 **C in 20-80% oxygen and cooling at 100-300**C/h.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kawase et al and Yoneda et al are cited for disclosing pertinent NTC materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3742

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

mp

MAPan